

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the:  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

WEST-WALKER, Nigel  
P.O Box 4  
Picton 7372  
New Zealand

## PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **09 MAR 2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
P03224/S

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
**PCT/NZ2004/000297**

International filing date (day/month/year)  
**24 November 2004**

Priority date (day/month/year)  
**25 November 2003**

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> **H01J 49/40**

Applicant  
**SYFT TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED et al**

**1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:**

- |                                     |              |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the opinion   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application  |

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

**3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.**

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU  
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE  
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA  
E-mail address: [pct@ipaustalia.gov.au](mailto:pct@ipaustalia.gov.au)  
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized Officer  
**STEPHEN CLARK**  
Telephone No. (02) 6283 2781

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

! U / 580555  
AP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 23 MAY 2006  
International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000297

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-13	YES
	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-13	YES
	Claims	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-13	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

1. US 5202563
2. EP 237259
3. US 6498342
4. US 5117194

Novelty (N), Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-13

None of the citations alone, or in obvious combination, disclose all of the features of any of the claims.

In particular, the features of a single evacuated chamber, housing both quadrupole mass filters, and a curved flow tube connecting both quadrupole mass filters was not found.

Citation 1 appears to show a single evacuated chamber and citation 2 appears to show a curved flow tube connecting quadrupole mass filters, however it is not considered obvious to combine these two citations as neither are directed towards portability of the unit or reduction of noise.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

**PCT/NZ2004/000297**

<b>Box No. I</b>	<b>Basis of the opinion</b>
------------------	-----------------------------

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

- ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ in written format  
☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments: